Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/052,020	CAHILL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622
All Participants:	Status of Application: RI OFFICE ACTION	ESPONSE TO NON-FINAL
(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Robert L. Walker, Applicant's Attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 January 2007	Time: <u>2:39pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: Claims 1-36		. ·
Prior art documents discussed:		•
Part II.	·	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Emended Dieth		
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the applicant's attorney to discussed proposed amendments to the claims. After reviewing the proposed examiners amendments sent to the attorney via fax, the applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment. For more details, please see Examiner's Amendment Office Action.